

Introduction

- This talk aims to:
- Provide some historical perspective on documentation activities & outputs, with a focus on Nepal
- Show how these activities, methods & outputs have changed with time
- Matisoff (1991: 498): "It is high time to "mainstream" S(ino-)T(ibetan) linguistics"
- It's also time to mainstream documentation methods & outputs within the context of 21st century digital & informatics scholarship
- Focus on areas of continued opportunity & need, including challenges & rewards

Introduction

- Language Documentation in Nepal in a traditional perspective
- B.H. Hodgson & G. Grierson in late 19th/early 20th centuries
- Linguistic surveys of Nepal intensified ca. 1980's: Werner Winter, now LinSuN at Tribhuvan University (Regmi 2010)
- Ongoing documentation initiatives by Summer Institute of Linguistics
- Energies skewed particularly to eastern Nepal, but this is changing (e.g. Baram documentation project in Gorkha, Muwé-ke in Mugu and Jumla)
- In Manang and surrounds ('Tamangic'): Georg, Glover, Hildebrandt, Honda, Mazaudon, Noonan

Introduction

- Documentation <u>outputs</u> in Nepal:
- Growing number of grammars published in mainstream venues: Brill, Mouton, sketches through Routledge edited volumes, Lincom Europa
- Even greater amount of information as unpublished mimeos, handouts or else as limited-release publications
- Many outputs were concerned largely with issues of genealogical affiliation and shared lexico-grammatical correspondences
- So, content more focused on paradigmatic patterns, comparative glossary-building and contrastive (-emic) analysis

Outputs

- Newer initiatives have brought methods and outputs within this particular field into the 21st century:
- Archives: Digital Himalaya (University of Virginia, University of Cambridge), Tibetan Himalayan Library (U of Virginia), LACITO, STEDT
- Documentation blogs and web pages: CPDP, Nar-Phu, etc.
- A-V companions to grammars: van Driem and Tshering's 1998 Dzhongkha practical grammar
- The online journal *Himalayan Linguistics* now has a "field reports" component
- But there is still room for more work and development









Ongoing Need

- The linguistic diversity across the geographically changeable & compact/bounded inhabitable regions of Nepal, combined with within- & across-family contact, along with varying degrees of threat/maintenance to these languages should all shape the methods of documentation too
- Historically, this would be a tall order for any purely paper-bound output
- But existing grammars already hint at the possibilities of what a multi-variable approach to documentation on any given language/in any area might reveal

Ongoing Need

- Details on variation are essential; not only do they contribute to/ challenge theories of natural human language...
 - E.g. phonemic vs. sub-phonemic, conditioned vs. free variation, structurepreserving vs. structure altering; lexical vs. post-lexical; lexically general vs. specified (Kiparsky 1982; Mohanan 1986; Blevins 2004; Nespor & Vogel 2007)
 - E.g. predicted outcomes to lg. contact; degrees & dimension of contactinduced change (Thomason 2001, Winford 2003, Matras & Sakel 2008)
- An added bonus is that the variation frequently appears to have spatial & sociolinguistic motivations or correlations
- These observations open up possibilities for revisiting and expanding methods & outputs of language documentation & description, enriching analysis by factoring in other variables





Manang Languages

- Because of the history of language (and dialect) diversity in Manang...
- Combined with the rapid changes taking place to the socio-economic & linguistic landscape:
 - I want to obtain a comprehensive, representative data-set of lexico-, phono-semantic & discourse strategies found in these languages, along with data on dialect variation
 - I want to better understand how development initiatives interrupt or preserve lg. attitudes, usage & patterns of transmission
- •Actually, this type of study is attractive to a geo-spatial perspective

- •GIS is a system for storing and displaying geo-spatial information on the web or in other digital formats
- It integrates software, hardware & programming to answer questions involving geographically referenced data



GIS and Documentation

- GIS-documentation link-ups are increasingly employed (at least at a global scale), with some interesting and compelling exemplars
- DELAMAN network (spatial representation of metadata from endangered language archives)





GIS & Documentation This Project • Berkeley Linguistics Mapping Project (BeLMaP): Studies the role of •NSF CAREER: "Documenting the Languages of Manang, Nepal for space in the spread of linguistic features via diffusion/borrowing in Local and International Impact" areas of intense contact (Michael 2010) Three large-scale research questions: 1. How can data on these languages contribute to advancements in the core methods & outputs of language documentation & description? 2. How can these data contribute to advancements in geo-spatial representation of languages & dialects in an area of diversity in structure & practices? 3. How can documentation methods in Manang contribute to advancements in undergraduate education & towards meaningful involvement of students as research assistants & agents of language promotion & preservation? • Our Project team: • KAH (P.I.) • SH, ORB, DND, JK (Collaborators @ home institution & abroad) Figure 1: Map layers containing linguistic data are manipulated in QuantumGIS Anju Saxena & collaborators: "Digital Areal Linguistics: A Lexical View • SIUE GA's & UGA's of the Himalayan Micro-Area" (Swedish Research Council) • LCC's (Local community contacts & assistants) • VR's (village residents)





Methods (2012-Ongoing) The Atlas: A First Look Three exemplars: P r **Ongoing (Active) Projects** 1. Mr. Komal Bahadur Ghale (Gurung, Tilce) 0 1. Sociolinguistic Study (KAH, DND, SIUE UGA) c e s s i 2. Ms. Tar Doma Bista (Gyalsumdo, Bagarchhap) 2. Analysis of Tonal Phonetics (KAH) 3.Mr. Norbu Lama (Gyalsumdo, Chaame) 3. Contact Effects in Lexicon & Morphosyntax (KAH, DND, n ORB) g 4. Pragmatic & Structural Conditions for Optional Expression & of Case (KAH, ORB) 5. Kin & Clan Terminology (ORB, ORB) 6. Multimedia Mapping & Documentation (KAH, SH) 6. Buddhist Gompa Landscape Imagery (student project) 7. GIS & Water Access/Use in Upper Manang (M.A. thesis) Manang Gurung Nar-Phu Ogyalsur 21 22

Sociolinguistic Surveys

- Although we have undertaken surveys with 34 Gurung speakers and 15 Gyalsumdo speakers, coding and analysis with Gurung still underway
- The lower Gyalsumdo numbers simply reflect the difficulty in accessing speakers who are active users of their language and who are available to be interviewed (in Manang)







F





Social despite the limited contexts in which Gyalsumdo is used, the dire prospects for children, and the dominant status of Nepali and Gurung, attitudes towards Gyalsumdo preservation are overwhelmingly positive

eys

• Mazaudon (2005, 2012), Mazaudon & Michaud (2006, 2008): fieldwork, including instrumental-based methods, can shed light on often difficult-to-describe tonal characteristics and possibly reveal evolutionary paths in languages of different genealogical distances

Tonal Profiles

- (And, an overlaying of instrumental investigations alongside the sociolinguistic dimensions can also uncover possible extra-linguistic factors as relevant to unexpected observations)
- Today represents in some ways both an initial attempt employ these complementary methods within Manang, but also part of an ongoing (15-year!) effort to answer the deceptively simple question: "What is tone?" in these languages

Tamangic Tonogenesis

		Tamang	Gurung	Thakali	Manange
*HI	/1/	54 \pm asp	33 ± asp	54	$22 \pm asp$
	/2/	$55 \pm asp$	54 \pm asp	44	44 ± asp
*LOW	/3/	33/22 fi, + asp	11 fi, -asp	11 fi, -asp	52 -asp (only obs)
	/4/	211 fi, +asp, [b]?	12 fi, -asp, [b]?	121 fi, -asp, [b]	42 + asp (only obs)

(f = breathy/murmur phonation; [b] = possible phonetic voicing effect of onset; Chao numbering system where 5 = high, 1 = low)

• However: Mazaudon & Michaud (2008, 2006), Hildebrandt (2007), Mazaudon (2005)-- high degrees of idiolectal & dialectal variation, phonetic correlates differently weighted across languages, varied role of F0 in defining the systems

Tamang: Mazaudon 1973, 1977, 2008, 2005, 2012; Gurung: Glover 1970, 1974; Thakali Georg 1996; Manange Mazaudon 1977, Hildebrandt 2003, 2004, 2005

Evolution of Tibetan Tones

WT Initials	Modern Reflexes	e.g. in Kyirong Tib.	e.g. in Nubri
voiced	LOW, voiceless	"Mid", unvoiced	low voiced or
	$(\pm asp)$		voiced breathy
pfx +voiced	LOW, voiced/unasp	"Low", ±voiced,	voiced modal or
		breathy	voiced breathy, or
			voiceless breathy
voiceless (asp)	(MID-)HIGH, voiceless	"High", aspirated	high voiceless asp
	asp		
pfx + voiceless	HIGH, voiceless unasp	"High"	high voiceless unasp

(adapted from Mazaudon 1977, Huber 2002 for Kyirong, Webster 1992 for Nubri)

• Additionally, WT finals -g, -d, -s, -/ns >modern-system contour tones (with corresponding long vowels in e.g. Kyirong)

30

Tonal Profiles

- Words were recorded in isolation (three repetitions) & frame-medial or final context (three repetitions)
- Gurung *kwe* 'bee' & *la-p*A 'drive.away-NOM'
- For nouns: toso ηλ-e <u>kwe</u> mro-e-po [now 1sg-erg bee see-Asp-NOM] 'Now I see a <u>bee</u>.'
- For verbs: toso ŋA-e <u>la-pA</u> tsA-ti-po [now 1SG-ERG drive.away-DEONT want-ASP-NOM] 'Now I want to <u>drive away</u>.'
- •Gyalsumdo to 'stone' & to 'walk/go'
 - For nouns: ŋA to t^hoŋ-sõ [1sG stone see-TAM/EVID] 'I saw the stone.'
 - For verbs: ηΛ tΛntΛ <u>to-ke</u> (re) [1sg now walk/go-TAM/EVID (EVID)] 'I am <u>walking</u> now/I <u>walk</u> now.'

Gurung Data	urung Data Plotted Against 3 Models Tonal Profiles			
	Kaski Gurung (Glover 1974)	Manange (Hildebrandt 2004)	Tamu (TSS 2004)	
Tone 1	"clear, relaxed"	"low, level"	modal (low)	
Tone 2	"clear, intense"	"high, level"	modal (high)	
Tone 3	"breathy, low"	"very high, falling"	breathy	
Tone 4	"breathy, rising"	"mid, falling"	breathy (high)	
Justification	authoritative, long-standing reference for Gurung tone	a sister language with which MG people have had long-term, intense contact	a newer account of multiple Gurung dialects w/ large lexicon, but not MG	

Tonal Profiles

- What can we look to as modern reflexes, or as features to the tonogenetic developments in these languages?
- Just what kind of variation is possible amongst any generalizations?
- Pitch-melody (within/across the two registers)
- Behavior of initial obstruents (VOT)
- Voicing of vowels with respect to Electroglottographic measurements

• Pitch-melody: Four Manang-Gurung speakers (5 measurement points)



A high-low separation only emerges when plotted against <u>Manange</u> model for 3 of 4 speakers so far, which seems to be an interesting development for communities in this region

Tonal Profiles • Pitch-melody: Four Gyalsumdo speakers (5 measurement points) Averages, Monosyllabics, WT Series 1-4 F1 F2 М1 М2 -wī P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 • The four categories are not obvious, but when WT 1/2 and WT 3/4 are merged into a proposed "high" v. "low", the differences are significant Averages, Monosyllabics, WT Merged 1/2 & 3/4 F1 F2 М1 М2 WT1/2 P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P1 P2 P3 P4 P5

Tonal Profiles

Tonal Profiles

• VOT differences on initials, Gyalsumdo (4 speakers)



• The male speakers show a strong tendency towards pre-voicing or else breathy onsets with words in WT 3 & WT 4 (those cases are not reflected in these bar-graphs)

33



Tonal Profiles

Tonal Profiles

•VOT differences on initials, Gyalsumdo (4 speakers)



• The male speakers show a strong tendency towards pre-voicing or else breathy onsets with words in WT 3 & WT 4 (those cases are not reflected in these bar-graphs)

38







• EGG CQ for Gyalsumdo:

Tonal Profiles

• Three of four speakers: initial vowels of WT 3 and/or 4 show lowered CQ values, but this is not always significant



Gya	Gyalsumdo Tonal Profiles		
Two Registers	Characte	rized by	
"High" (WT 1/2)	Higher F0 (no contour diffs. y		
"Low" (WT 3/4)	Lower F0, tend obstruent voicing males, weak e shorter vocal fo vowels, obstruent	g, particularly by evidence for old closure for	

Tamangic Languages Tonal Profi				al Profiles
Characteristics	Manange	Manang Gurung		Nar(-Phu)
Pitch-melody	High-low & level- falling/contour	H-L emerges only when compared to Manange model		High-Low
Onset voicing	No voicing; aspiration split in tones /3/ & /4/	No voicing; phonetic aspiration rare		Lower VOT in low register
Other cues				Possibly jitter

Final Considerations

- While still tentative (parallel data collections planned for upper Manang in 2013), this is a first view to a systematic comparative examination of the phonetic manifestation of tone in these languages
- The situation observed for Manang-Gurung so far is particularly interesting when compared with other varieties elsewhere in Nepal
- What are the consequences of language contact in such close proximity on these systems (& emergent cues), especially in light of their relative diachronic youth?
- Gyalsumdo is surrounded by Manang-Gurung, but its system may be appreciated by quite different cues
- I'm particularly interested in the picture that will be painted by additional measurements from other speakers, alongside those of Nar-Phu, as these are the two languages of Manang that that show the greatest sudden drop in fluent speakers below the age of 50

Final Considerations

- A spatial perspective is not a substitute for intensive, comprehensive documentation of systems as they are used in everyday settings, across genres; the methods of investigation must remain rigorous
- There is also the non-trivial matter of community permission, input & collaboration in an endeavor resulting in linguistic mapping at a micro-level (cf. Penfield et al 2008, Rice 2011)
- Such initiatives also rely on intense cross-disciplinary (and crossinstitutional) collaboration with experts on hardware, software and programming, on larger budgets, & on longer timelines (e.g. NSF CAREER, ELDP Large Grants, U.S./U.K./EU cross-council collaborations, etc.)

Final Considerations

• Following guidelines advocated by ELAR, by DoBeS and by Bird and Simons (2003), all of this collaboration & expertise must all ultimately be open-source (to the extent possible), transportable, cross-platform (non-proprietary), available to/learnable by a wide range of users, must find a long-term home for storage/access/archive, and must use markup languages available for long-term access

Final Considerations

• However, spatial representations of structure and usage in such multilingual, heavy-contact, endangerment-prone areas provide an additional, more intuitive visual perspective of 'what's going on'

- Such representations are particularly illuminating in areas where multiple features are considered simultaneously, or where structural variables are paired with socio-cultural/attitude/usage-scenario ones
- They also open up linguistic documentation & analysis to wider audience numbers and types (van Uytvanck et al's 'curiosity factor')

Thank You (full references supplied upon request) Thanks to Dubi Nanda Dhakal & Oliver Bond for help w/data collection Thanks to Matthew Valejo & Cassidy Martin for assistance with data organization & coding Thanks to Samantha Winkler, Kanchan Karki, Kourtney Hake & John Rekowski for help with video editing & web page construction Research supported by NSF BCS/DEL 1149639 (2012-2017) & ELAR SG0025 (2010-2011) <u>Thank you</u> to Manang language communities for their participation