

Spatial Relations in Manange (Tamangic) (With Comparative Reference to Nar-Phu)

Kristine A. Hildebrandt
SIU Edwardsville khildeb@siue.edu

I. Introduction¹

- In a family as large and diverse as Sino-Tibetan/Tibeto-Burman, it is not surprising to see this diversity manifested also in the complex and interesting forms and semantics of spatial relations across the languages
- As a preview for Manange:
- Topological relations are primarily encoded in nominal suffixal/enclitic forms or else in quasi-free root-like forms variably called “relator/locator nouns/locational elements.” Additional spatial relations are encoded in verbal lexical semantics, with some variation observed across Tamangic languages
- Enclitics and a small set of these locational elements do the lion’s share of spatial encoding in Manange discourse, while Nar-Phu makes much more productive use of locational roots in both elicited and discourse contexts
- The linguistic frame of reference in both languages includes a complex combination of relative, absolute and also intrinsic patterns
- Many of these forms are easy enough to discover through formal elicitation, but it is through examination in discourse contexts that their structural and semantic intricacies may be more deeply appreciated, and that subtle similarities and differences across languages may be discovered

II. Location, Status, and Morpho-Syntactic Typology of Manange

- Manange (endonyms: Nyeshang, Nyeshante, Nyangmi) spoken in eight villages of the upper Manang District in central-northern Nepal; Nar-Phu (endonym: tshyprung/nartwe) spoken in Nar/Phu villages, with residents who have relocated to other parts of Manang; both have active communities residing in Kathmandu and abroad
- Other Tamangic languages: Tamang, Gurung, Thakali, Nar-Phu, Seke/Tangbe and Chantyal. Published reports on speaker populations are conflicting: CBS (2012) under 400 speakers while speaker self-reporting 3,000 and 5,000. In other cases, Manange is lumped in with Gurung (Gurung 1998; Tumbahang 2012). More recent research: some 2,000-3,000 active speakers distributed across Manang, with roughly the same number of members living in Kathmandu and abroad (Hildebrandt et al 2015). Not all diaspora Mananges are active speakers, so Manange could be classified as ‘threatened/shifting’; Nar is moribund
- The basic word-order in elicited structures and in most discourse-embedded clauses is verb-final, with post-positions and post-nominal modification

¹ This work is supported by NSF 1149639 “Documenting the Languages of Manang” and by ELDP SG0025 “Nar and Phu (Tibeto-Burman).” I remain grateful to members of the Manange and Nar Phu communities for teaching me about their languages.

- Relative clauses are pre-nominal; negation is prefixal or via copula suppletion
- Case marking is reliably ergative-absolutive in elicitation, but the frequency of overt realization in discourse is low and tied to pragmatic factors (Bond et al 2013)
- Manange and Nar-Phu lack agreement. With the exception of the negative prefix, noun and verb morphology is exclusively suffixing or enclitic
- Verbal affixes code aspect and modality, and nominalization of main verbs is frequently encountered in discourse

III. Spatial Relations in Post-nominal Enclitics

- Hildebrandt (2004) a very basic overview of spatial encodings, and all elicited examples come from this sketch, and more extensive examples from discourse collected in 2013 & 2014.
- Michael Noonan provided some additional semantic observations via unpublished notes on Nar Phu; almost all discourse examples in this talk come from data gathered in 2010 (ELDP), and in 2012-2014 (NSF).
- In these languages, topological relations are largely encoded via enclitics, and there is a general locative enclitic (*ri~re*) encoding a wide range of containment and support expressions, as well as dynamic, motion towards:

(1)

a. Manange IN/AT

²²k^{hi} ⁵²**pwal=ri** ²²t^u-p₃ ²²mo
 3.SG **KTM=LOC** stay-NMLZR COP
 'He lives in Kathmandu.'

²²ŋ₃=tse ²²f₃=ko ⁴²**fofo=ri** ⁴²ts^hor-tsi
 1.SG=ERG meat=DEF **paper=LOC** wrap-PFV
 'I wrapped the meat in the paper.'

b. Manange ON

²²ŋ₃ ²²**t^hañ=ri** ²²t^u-tsu ²²mo
 1.SG **ground=LOC** stay-PROG COP
 'I am sitting on the ground.'

c. Manange TO/TOWARDS

⁵²mi=ko ⁵²**jul=ri** ⁴⁴kaŋ ⁴²k^hja=ro ⁴²p^hro-tsi
 person=DEF **village=LOC** mountain place=ABL walk-PFV
 'The person walked to the village from the mountain.'

d. Nar-Phu IN

tʃû=re tʃhæ mû
DIST=LOC tea COP

'There's tea in this (container)' (Noonan elicitation notes)

e. Nar-Phu ON

p^hoto **kaŋ=re** k^he-tse
photo **wall=LOC** put-IPFV
'(Someone) puts a photo on the wall.' (MPI put_028)

f. Nar-Phu IN/AT

ŋæ tʰosor **pʰâlpe=re** mû
1.SG now **KTM=LOC** COP
'I'm in Kathmandu now.' (Noonan elicitation notes)

g. Nar-Phu TO/TOWARDS

tʰupruŋ-se JM **pʰâlpe=re** ni-tʃi mû
Nar.village=ABL JM **KTM=LOC** go-PST EVID
'JM went from Nar to Kathmandu.' (Noonan elicitation notes)

- In discourse in Manange, most topological encoding is with =ri; in Nar-Phu, "locator nouns" are more prolific (§ IV), but noun + enclitic are found in discourse too:

(2)

a. Manange IN

²²kəj3=**ri** ⁴⁴prin ²²l3, ²²t^he ²²j3-tsi
pot=LOC put do, keep go-PFV
'Putting (yeast) in a pot, it is cooked...' (NgawalM99_F2,_009)

b. Manange IN/AT

²²tiŋi ²²ŋi piŋaŋ ⁵²jul=**ri** ²²ŋΛ ⁴²lo ⁴²ŋΛtsju epΛ=ko ⁴²lo
day 1.PL Pisang **village=LOC** 1.SG year five.ten age=DEF year

⁴²ŋΛ tĩ bahirΛ ²²tutsi
five class outside sit-PFV

'Today, we in this Pisang village, as I was about to become fifty years old, I lived outside for five years.' (PisangM2013_2_007)

c. Manange TOWARDS/UNTIL

tilits^ho ⁴⁴kju mi=ko ⁴²kjomtso=**ri** ⁴⁴je-p3
Tilicho water source=DEF **sea=LOC** return-NMLZR
'Tilicho lake (the source is in Manang) flows towards the ocean/goes to the ocean.'
(Khangsar13_MM1_030)

d. Nar IN/AT

ŋæ ʃjontɛn **pʰwɛj-re** tʃʰân-tʃi
1.SG education **Tibet=LOC** study-PST
'I was educated in Tibet.' (The Three Brothers)

e. Nar ON

hotfu=re p^hæ tsam khjeta p^hrâ-pɛ tæ p^hrâ-pɛ
this=LOC iron bridge cattle walk-NMLZR horse walk-NMLZR
 'On this, iron bridges, cattle walk, horses walk.' (Contemporary Nar, 7)

f. Nar IN/INSIDE

paŋ=tfuke=re âpɛ phruŋ-pɛ
pen=PL=LOC excrement defecate=NMLZR
 'In the pens, (the animals) defecated.' (Contemporary Nar, 12)

- Very rarely (in Manange), location is marked only with a locational element, without the locative:

(3) Manange

⁴⁴pu ⁵²naŋ ²²ts^haŋ-tsi
 clay.pot **inside** put-PFV
 'I put (yeast) inside of the clay pot.'

- These examples illustrate a “relative” frame-of-reference system at work in both Manange and Nar-Phu (Bickel 1994; 1997; Levinson 2003; Levinson and Wilkins 2006; Bowerman 2007) i.e., the location of an object is expressed in relation to both the viewpoint of the perceiver (speaker) and the position of another referent.
- Both Manange and Nar-Phu have lexemes for “left/right”:

(4)

a. Manange: ²²tor ~ ²²ja ²²tortse ‘left ~ left hand’, kje ~ ²²ja ²²kjetse ‘right ~ right hand’
 b. Nar-Phu: tôr ‘left side’, ke ‘right side’

- These are also nouns or noun-like:

(5) Nar, MPI Classifier_009

tepe kap kal=ri n^hâŋ=ri,
 again cup like=LOC inside=LOC,

k^hrî t^haŋ-tse p^hjaŋ=ri **tôr**
 one is.kept-PFV top=LOC **left**

ken=ri lâ then-tse mo mû
right=LOC do put-PFV COP EVID

‘Again, that (wooden object) being put inside the cup, it is (also) put on top to the left and right (of the cup).’



- And both languages have lexemes for ‘north/south/east/west’; these are not encountered in any discourse, but in elicitation (7-9 below), *aŋse* ‘side’ follows the direction word

(6) Cardinal Directions

	Manange	Nar
North	⁵² tʃaŋ	tʃhaŋ
South	⁴² lo	lô
East	⁴⁴ ʃer	ʃâr
West	⁵² nu	nɦup

(7) Manange

²²ŋ3 ⁵²tʃaŋ aŋse(=ri) ²²j3-tsi
 1.SG north side(=LOC) go-PFV
 ‘I went north.’

(8) Nar

ŋæ thim ʃâr aŋse(=ri) mo
 1.SG house east side(=LOC) COP
 ‘I’m at the east side of (my) house.’

(9) Nar

ŋæ amrika nɦup aŋse(=ri) ni-tʃi
 1.SG America west side(=LOC) go-PST
 ‘I went west to America.’²

IV. “Locational Elements”

- In Manange and Nar-Phu topological relations are productively encoded by what is termed by Hildebrandt as “locational roots”; In Nar-Phu, not explicitly discussed by Noonan, but also probably noun-like in their morpho-syntax
- These forms encode both static and dynamic (motion) relations
- These are easy enough to elicit in Manange, and they are of course also encountered in discourse, but they are far more frequently encountered in Nar-Phu discourse, while the general locative =ri is more frequent in Manange discourse

² In Phu, the word for ‘side’ is tʃʰo, as in ŋæamrika nɦup tʃʰo(=ri) ja-tʃi ‘I went west to America.’

(10)

a. Manange ⁵²naŋ ‘inside’

⁴⁴tsu ⁴²ja ⁴²ru ⁵²naŋ=ko=ri ²²k3ru ⁴²p^hlu ⁴²ŋ3 ⁴⁴prin-tse ²²l3-tsi
 PROX yak horn **inside=DEF=LOC** barley seed five hit-CC do-PFV
 ‘Inside of the (dead) yak’s horn, (the lama) put five barley seeds.’ (Ghyaru_ManM1)

b. Manange ⁴⁴litse ‘behind,’ ²²p3r ‘in between,’ ²²ti ‘near’

⁴⁴tsu ²²t^hj3-p3 ku ⁴⁴sē ⁴²ts^haŋ-tse ⁴⁴litse=ri ²²mo-p3 ²²t^he-tsi.
 PROX big-NMLZR idol three put-CC **behind=LOC**COP-NMLZR keep-PFV

⁴⁴u ku=ko ²²p3ri=ri ²²ti=ri ⁴⁴u ²²l3-tse
 DIST idol=DEF **between=LOC** **near=LOC** DIST do-CC

⁴⁴u=ko=ri ⁵²s3-ni ²²l3-tse ⁵²mi ⁵²ŋj0-p3 ⁴⁴u ⁴⁴tsu=ko
 DIST=DEF=LOC nice-ADV do-CC person look-NMLZR dist PROX=DEF
 ‘Having made three idols, those there *in the back*, those were made/kept...having done like this, (those) *in between/near here*, having done well, people look there (at them)/regard them.’ (Braga13_MM3_028-30)

(11)

a. Nar: p^hjaŋ ‘top’ stative

nôkju=tɛn êle=cɛ p^homi **p^hjaŋ=re** t^han-tse
 dog=COMIT boy=DEF shoulder **top=LOC** keep-PFV
 ‘The boy kept/held the dog on his shoulders.’ (grammar notes 5:5)

b. Nar: p^hjaŋ ‘top’ dynamic

êle=cɛ=tɛn nôkju=cɛ t^honpɛ r^hul-pi **p^hjaŋ=re** krê-tse
 boy=DEF=COMIT dog=DEF tree spoil-NMLZR **top=LOC** climb-CVB
 ‘The boy and/with the dog, having climbed to the top of the fallen/rotting tree...’ (grammar notes 5:6)

c. Nar: nhâŋ ‘inside/into’

nôkju=tɛn êle=cɛ kju t^hô **nhâŋ=re** pi tê-tfi
 dog=COMIT boy=DEF water lake **inside=loc** go.fast fall-PST
 ‘The dog and the boy accidentally fell into the lake.’ (grammar notes 5:4)

d. Nar: p^ho ‘beside’

njûku bâksa **p^ho=re** mô mu
 pen box **beside=LOC** COP EVID
 ‘The pen is beside the box.’ (grammar notes 10:1)

- Most of these locator roots convey a relative frame of reference, but ‘front/back’ appears to be absolute (ie. the location of the object is defined in relation to arbitrary or fixed bearings):

(12) Manange (Hoshi 1986: 198)

⁴² t ^{hi}	²² ɲwontse=ri	⁴⁴ tɜpɜ	⁴⁴ kɜtti	²² mo	²² mu
house	front =LOC	monk	many	COP	EVID

'There are many monks in front of the house.'

(13) Nar-Phu

pjuŋ	ɲwonte	ɲwonte	pɦrâ-tse
man	front	front	walk-CVB

ni-tɕi

go-PST

'The man, in a walking manner, goes forward.'

(MPI Set1_105ET)



- Upon consultation with Nar speakers, these encode 'front/back' no matter where the speaker is in relation to the location or movement of the referent. 'Front/back' in reference to the speaker is encoded lexically: Manange tenje 'back of body' ku 'chest/front of torso'; Nar-Phu rɦôte 'lower back side', thweku 'upper front or back side', mæko 'lower front torso side'

V. Dynamic Spatial Relations Encoded in Verbs

- A small set of verbs in both Manange give evidence of a second frame-of-reference system at work in the language, although limited to a small set of verbs; 'descend' is part of the larger lexical construction for weather and environmental phenomena, as in (15):

(14) Select Motion Verbs in Manange

²²jɜ 'go'

²²k^hɜ 'come'

²²ju 'descend'

⁴⁴je 'ascend/return' (distinct from ²²kre 'climb')

(15) Manange weather/environment verbs

⁵²mo ²²ju-pɜ 'to rain' (lit. sky descend)

⁴²k^hi ²²ju-pɜ 'to snow' (lit. snow descend)

²²t^{hi}/²²sɜ ²²ju-pɜ 'to have a landslide/an avalanche' (lit. ground/slope descend)

(16) Manange ²²ju 'descend' in discourse

⁵²mo ²²a-ju-pɜ-ko

ɜni

eka=ri

²²ju

iten

sky NEG-descend-NMLZR-REP

then

Yarka=LOC

descend

and.then

'If there is no rain, we go down/descend to Yarka (to worship).' (Pisang13_MM1_014)

(17) Manange ⁴⁴je ‘ascend/return’ in discourse

⁵²siki ²²ta ²²ts3-tse ²²l3-tse ²²aʃaŋ=tse **⁴⁴je-p3**
 food what eat-CC do-CC uncle=PL **return/ascend**

²²k^himi ⁴²t^hĩ=ri
 3.PL house=LOC

‘After the feast/whatever foods being eaten, the uncles return to their own homes.’
 (Tengki13_mm1_025)

- These are like extrinsic frame-of-reference: the location of an object/referent is calculated on a fixed coordinate (in this case, slope)
- But one is just as likely to encounter generic ‘come/go’ plus a locative root in discourse to express similar frame of reference:

(18) Manange ⁴⁴kaŋro ²²k^h3 ‘come up/ascend’

²²lake **⁴⁴kaŋro** **²²k^h3-p3** ²²ŋjaŋ ⁵²pi
 again **upward** **come-NMLZR** we say

‘Again, saying, we came up (to Pisang village from Kathmandu).’ (Pisang13_MM3_046)

- In Nar-Phu, the situation is a bit different. There are verbs that orient along slope:

(19)

jê ‘ascend/return/go back’

h̥jû ‘descend’

- There are also directionals that combine with ‘come/go’ and include slope as well as orientation of movement with respect to the speaker (towards or away from), as reported by Noonan:

(20)

mâr ‘down towards the speaker’	tor ‘up towards the speaker’
k̥h̥juru ‘down away from the speaker’	k ^h enro ‘up away from the speaker’

mâr k^h3 ‘referent comes downward towards the speaker’

mâr h̥jû ‘referent comes/descends downward towards the speaker’

tor k^h3 ‘referent comes upward towards the speaker’

k̥h̥juru ni ‘referent goes downward away from the speaker’

k^henro ni ‘referent goes upward away from the speaker’ (grammar notes 3)

(21) Nar mâr and tor

tor **kho** p̥hi-pa a-ɦi-ne, **mâr** **njo** p̥hi-pi
up **come** say-NMLZR NEG-stay-ADV **down** **go** say-NMLZR

mhi=ce su a-re
 person=PL who NEG-COP

'Many (people) tell us to **come up**, not to settle; nobody says "you settle (lit. **go down**)."' (Koto13_NF1_139-140)

VI. Semantic Extensions

- The locative enclitic and locational roots locate objects not only in space/time, but may also locate ideas or more abstract concepts in relation to each other

(22) Manange ⁵²**naŋ=ri** 'inside'

²²3tse ²²mo ⁵²pi-tse ²²l3-tse k3rtʃa ⁵²**naŋ=ri**
 like.this COP say-CC do-CC holy.book inside=LOC

²²3le ²²mo ²²mu
 like.this COP EVID

'We say like this, (the history of Braga village) is contained inside of the temple/in its scriptures.' (a gentleman remarking on the relationship of the Braga Gumpa to the history of the village) (Braga13_MM3_040)

- The locative optionally appears when people elaborate on their ages:

(23) Nar

ŋhačʉ ŋhar kɦu **ʈhukčʉ=ri** **lɦo=ri** a-jo-pɛ
 fifty CONJ nine sixty=LOC year=LOC neg-reach-NMLZR
 'I'm fifty nine, one year shy of sixty.' (Koto13_NM1_005)

- Locative structures also relate spaces (in this case, agricultural) to people's lives:

(24) Nar

čâpɛ ʈuŋpɛ sagsəbdzi tɛ̃ to-ri rɛ̃ɲɛ **bari=ri** mo
 food drink vegetable what need-SUBORD self **field(Nep)=LOC** COP
 'Whatever we need to eat or drink, we have it right here.' (Koto13_NF1)

(25) Nar

toŋri=re phaita the-tse mo mu
animal=LOC benefits be.big-IPFV COP EVID
 'We get many benefits from (the presence of) our animals (such as yaks).' (Nar_Lifetory_1)

- Truly conventionalized metaphorical uses of locational structures are more elusive

VII. Concluding Remarks

- Other than Bickel and Gaenszle eds. 1999 or else gleaned from individual descriptions and accounts, there is still not much in terms of family-internal comparative studies of the spatial domain
- We see in Manange use of both enclitics and locational roots for static/topological and dynamic movement, with a combination of relative, absolute and intrinsic frame-of-reference situations; We see in Nar-Phu that locational roots are more frequently encountered in discourse, while Manange speakers make more use of locative =*ri*
- This comparative account will hopefully become a part of a larger crosslinguistic comparison of the ways that T-B grammars encode space

References

Bickel, B. 1994. Mapping operations in spatial deixis and the typology of reference frames. Working Paper No. 31, Cognitive Anthropology Research Group, Nijmegen.

Bickel, B. 1997. Spatial operations in deixis, cognition, and culture: Where to orient oneself in Belhare. In: E. Pederson and J. Nuyts (ed.), *Language and Conceptualization*, 46-83. CUP.

Bickel, B. and M. Gaenszle (eds.) 1999. *Himalayan Space: Cultural Horizons and Practices*. Völkerkundemuseum Zürich.

Bond, O., K.A. Hildebrandt and D. Dhakal. 2013. [Optional ergative case marking: What can be expressed by its absence?](#) Presentation at the 10th Biennial Association for Linguistic Typology Meeting, 15-18 August, 2013. MPI Eva Leipzig, Germany.

Bowerman, M. 2007. Containment, support and beyond. In M. Aurnague, M. Hickman, L. Vieu (eds.) *The Categorization of Spatial Entities in Language and Cognition*, 177-204. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Central Bureau of Statistics. 2012. *National Population and Housing Census 2011*. Government of Nepal National Planning Commission Secretariat.

Gurung, H. 1998. *Nepal: Social Demography and Expressions*. Kathmandu: New ERA.

Hildebrandt, K.A. 2004. A grammar and glossary of the Manange language. In C. Genetti (ed.) *Tibeto-Burman Languages of Nepal: Manange and Sherpa*, 2-189. Pacific Linguistics.

Hildebrandt, K.A., D.N. Dhakal, O. Bond, M. Vallejo, and A. Fyffe. 2015. A sociolinguistic survey of the languages of Manang, Nepal: Co-existence and endangerment. *NFDIN Journal* 14.6: 104-124.

Levinson, S.C. 2003. *Space in Language and Cognition: Explorations in Cognitive Diversity*. CUP.

Levinson, S.C. and D. Wilkins (eds.) 2006. *Grammars of Space: Explorations in Cognitive Diversity*. CUP.

Noonan, M. 2008. "Contact-induced change in the Himalayas: The case of the Tamangic languages." In: Siemunds, Peter; and Kintana, Noemi (ed.), *Language Contact and Contact Languages*, 81-106. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Tumbahang, G.B. 2012. Linguistic pluralism in Nepal. *Contributions to Nepalese Studies* 39: 77-104.